



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| | | | | |
|--------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
| 10/518,812 | 10/11/2005 | Guido F. Smoorenburg | 22409-00281 | 3611 |
| 306578 | 7590 | 06/30/2009 | | |
| CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP | | | EXAMINER | |
| 1875 EYE STREET, N.W. | | | HOLMES, REX R | |
| SUITE 1100 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| WASHINGTON, DC 20006 | | | 3762 | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 06/30/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. 10/518,812 | Applicant(s) SMOORENBURG, GUIDO F. |
| | Examiner REX HOLMES | Art Unit 3762 |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) REX HOLMES.

(3) Mark Kupets.

(2) George Evanisko.

(4) Chuck Chesney.

Date of Interview: 24 June 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Cochlear Limited WO 00/52963.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants argue that the cochlear limited reference fails to include a teaching for adjusting current levels. It appears the reference does not teach adjusting the current levels, although a closer reading of the reference is necessary. If the reference does not teach current levels, the application should be allowable or another action will be sent.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/George R Evanisko/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3762